

[22nd October 1927]

- (c) No one was forced to compound. The individuals concerned admitted that it was stolen produce and they agreed to compound.
- (d) Rs. 1,839.
- (e) No cases were taken to court. In three cases the offence reports were sent to the Magistrate, but eventually the parties decided to pay the compounding fees

APPENDIX V.

[Vide answer to question No. 822 asked by Mr. G. Harisarvottama Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 22nd October 1927, page 409 supra.]

* 32 Q.—MR. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the route from Muddavaram village in the Dhone taluk of the Kurnool district to Kolumulapalle and Sitarampuram is through the reserved forest;

(b) whether representations have been made to the Forest department, that in summer the cattle of Muddavaram must go to Kolumulapalle and Sitarampuram for water and that therefore the Forest department should disafforest sufficient extent to permit cattle to pass; and

(c) what action has been taken upon such representations?

A.—(a) The route from Muddavaram to Kolumulapalle passes through reserved forest. But it is a road of 30 feet width and is bordered by stone walls kept in good repair so that cattle may not leave the road and trespass into the forest.

The admitted route from Muddavaram to Sitarampuram does not pass through reserved forest but it is true that licensed cattle are permitted to take a short cut which does so pass. This is purely a concession and not a right.

(b) & (c) The Government understand that a representation was made to the District Forest Officer for disforestation but that no action was taken as enquiry elicited that there was ample room for cattle to pass, and that the proposals for disforestation emanated from certain individuals who wished to use the land so disforested for pasturing goats.

APPENDIX VI.

[Vide answer to question No. 823 asked by Mr. G. Harisarvottama Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 22nd October 1927, page 409 supra.]

166 Q.—MR. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO: With reference to the answer to my question No. 358 answered on 17th March 1927, will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(b) (ii) whether such half a mile distances have been marked;